

**GENERAL PLAN UPDATE  
INFRASTRUCTURE & SERVICES SUBCOMMITTEE**

**January 06, 2004**  
**Conference Rooms 2&3**

# MINUTES

<b>MEMBERS PRESENT:</b>	Arthur Garcia	Steve Palma	Bill Tripp
	Joanne Clayton	Richard Arroyo	Gary
	Nordstrom	Rafael Munoz	Michael Spethman
	Buettner		Mary Jo
	David W. Krogh		

**MEMBERS ABSENT:** Kevin O'Neill; Bob Strahl; Al Gore

**STAFF PRESENT:** Ed Batchelder, GPU Project Manager, Deputy Dir. of Planning  
Mark Stephens, Principal Planner  
Dan Forster, Growth Management Coordinator  
Rabbia Phillip, Secretary – GPU

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Garcia called the meeting to order at 6:00 pm. Roll call was conducted. A motion to excuse members Strahl was passed.

## 2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The Chairman called for approval of the minutes from November 25<sup>th</sup>, 2003. which was passed with 1 abstention.

### 3. PUBLIC COMMENT

Comments were invited from the public, but there were none at this time.

#### 4. GENERAL PLAN LAND USE OPTIONS

Dan informed the members that Ed Batchelder and Mark Stephens would be presenting the information on Land Use Concepts. Ed gave a brief overview of the process to gather and compile information from the residents of the City. He referred to the document in the agenda package, which represented the preferences of the public from the Town Hall meetings in November, prepared by staff and RBF Consulting. He stated that this information was to be used as a barometer, not reflecting preferences to any one specific concept. The final outcomes that are adopted will be combinations of parts of each and all of the alternatives put forward, to create a valid actual range of alternatives for testing. He noted that no inclusion was made of infrastructure, such as parks / schools, as these are understood to be in the mix once any of the alternatives are adopted. The GMOC would be the body to oversee that this is done in the right timing and manner.

He explained that there were not going to be broad sweeping changes throughout the city by the update, but to areas of opportunity or focus areas within the city, where changes and improvements are most needed or likely to occur. Staff, with RBF Consulting, then devised 3 alternatives for each of the focus areas, Northwest, Southwest and East/Otay Ranch. which were presented to the public at the November workshops in each of the areas. The responses from the members of the public were represented in the tabled document before them to review at this time.

Mark Stephens explained the information on the table reflecting the preferences of the alternatives as received in the responses. He also clarified the differences between the alternatives by explaining the details of each.

The group discussed the different options, the repercussions and advantages before the Chairman directed them to move on. Ed reiterated their comments to confirm a consensus, ie. it is necessary to have multiple, higher-end and higher density housing in pockets of WCV to balance with more affordable housing and price stratification in the East.

#### **5. DISCUSSION OF FUTURE MEETING DATES**

Ed pointed out that the focus of the next regular monthly meetings would be to continue the goals and policy objectives and the draft elements (a sample document of the outline was distributed) of the General Plan. Ed explained that the elements would be split among the subcommittees. This group would be responsible for items 8 and 10. Dan advised that the specific dates would be determined by the availability of the drafts. The meetings would be on either the second or third Tuesday of the month, the group will be notified.

#### **6. OTHER ITEMS**

There were none at this time.

#### **7. ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 8:10 p.m.

Recorded by,

Rabbia Phillip  
Secretary, Planning Dept.

Dan Forster  
Growth Management Coordinator